

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,373	AMENDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) D. S. Nakarani.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Mitchell J. Weinstein, Attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 April 2006
**Time:** 3:55 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Weinstein agreed to amend claims to overcome rejection of claims stated in paragraph 6 of the Office Action mailed December 19, 2005 (Paper No. 20051210). For the detail of agreement, see Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)